arXiv:1606.07250v1 [math.FA] 23 Jun 2016

THE BEST M-TERM APPROXIMATION WITH RESPECT TO POLYNOMIALS WITH CONSTANT COEFFICIENTS

PABLO M. BERNÁ AND ÓSCAR BLASCO

Abstract. In this paper we show that that greedy bases can be defined as those where the error term using m-greedy approximant is uniformly bounded by the best m-term approximation with respect to polynomials with constant coefficients in the context of the weak greedy algorithm and weights.

1. Introduction

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ be an infinite-dimensional real Banach space and let $\mathscr{B} = (e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a normalized Schauder basis of $\mathbb{X}$ with biorthogonal functionals $(e_n^*)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Throughout the paper, for each finite set $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ we write $|A|$ for the cardinal of the set A, $1_A = \sum_{j\in A} e_j$ and $P_A(x) = \sum_{n\in A} e_n^*(x)e_n$. Given a collection of signs $(\eta_j)_{j\in A} \in \{\pm 1\}$ with $|A| < \infty$, we write $1_{\eta A} = \sum_{n\in A} \eta_j e_j \in \mathbb{X}$ and we use the notation $[1_{\eta A}]$ and $[e_n, n \in A]$ for the one-dimensional subspace and the $|A|-$dimensional subspace generated by generated by $1_{\eta A}$ and by $\{e_n, n \in A\}$ respectively. For each $x \in \mathbb{X}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, S.V. Konyagin and V.N. Temlyakov defined in [13] the **m-th greedy approximant** of x by

$$\mathcal{G}_m(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} e^*_{\rho(j)}(x)e_{\rho(j)},$$

where ρ is a greedy ordering, that is $\rho : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a permutation such that $supp(x) = \{n : e_n^*(x) \neq 0\} \subseteq \rho(\mathbb{N})$ and $|e^*_{\rho(j)}(x)| \geq |e^*_{\rho(i)}(x)|$ for $j \leq i$. The collection $(\mathcal{G}_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is called the **Thresholding Greedy Algorithm** (TGA).

This algorithm is usually a good candidate to obtain the **best m-term approximation** with regard to $\mathscr{B}$, defined by

$$\sigma_m(x, \mathscr{B})_{\mathbb{X}} = \sigma_m(x) := \inf\{d(x, [e_n, n \in A]) : A \subset \mathbb{N}, |A| = m\}.$$

The bases satisfying

$$\|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq C\sigma_m(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.1}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 41A65, 41A46, 46B15.

Key words and phrases. thresholding greedy algorithm; m-term approximation; weight-greedy basis.

The first author is partially supported by GVA PROMETEOII/2013/013 and 19368/PI/14 (*Fundación Séneca*, Región de Murcia, Spain). The second author is partially supported by MTM2014-53009-P (MINECO, Spain).

where C is an absolute constant are called **greedy bases** (see [13]).

The first characterization of greedy bases was given by S.V. Konyagin and V. N. Temlyakov in [13] who established that a basis is greedy if and only if it is unconditional and democratic (where a basis is said to be democratic if there exists $C > 0$ so that $\|1_A\| \leq C\|1_B\|$ for any pair of finite sets A and B with $|A| = |B|$).

Let us also recall two possible extensions of the greedy algorithm and the greedy basis. The first one consists in taking the m terms with near-biggest coefficients and generating the Weak Greedy Algorithm (WGA) introduced by V.N. Temlyakov in [15]. For each $t \in (0, 1]$, a finite set $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{N}$ is called a t-greedy set for $x \in \mathbb{X}$, for short $\Gamma \in \mathscr{G}(x, t)$, if

$$\min_{n\in\Gamma} |\mathbf{e}_n^*(x)| \geq t \max_{n\notin\Gamma} |\mathbf{e}_n^*(x)|,$$

and write $\Gamma \in \mathscr{G}(x, t, N)$ if in addition $|\Gamma| = N$. A **t-greedy operator of order** N is a mapping $G^t : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{X}$ such that

$$G^t(x) = \sum_{n\in\Gamma_x} \mathbf{e}_n^*(x)\mathbf{e}_n, \quad \text{for some } \Gamma_x \in \mathscr{G}(x, t, N).$$

A basis is called **t-greedy** if there exists $C(t) > 0$ such that

$$\|x - G^t(x)\| \leq C(t)\sigma_m(x)\ \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall G^t \in \mathscr{G}(x, t, m). \tag{1.2}$$

It was shown that a basis is t-greedy for some $0 < t \leq 1$ if and only if it is t-greedy for all $0 < t \leq 1$. From the proof it follows that greedy basis are also t-greedy basis with constant $C(t) = O(1/t)$ as $t \to 0$.

The second one consists in replacing $|A|$ by $w(A) = \sum_{n\in A} w_n$ and it was considered by G. Kerkyacharian, D. Picard and V.N. Temlyakov in [12] (see also [14, Definition 16]). Given a weight sequence $\omega = \{\omega_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \omega_n > 0$ and a positive real number $\delta > 0$, they defined

$$\sigma_\delta^\omega(x) = \inf\{d(x, [e_n, n \in A]) : A \subset \mathbb{N}, \omega(A) \leq \delta\}$$

where $\omega(A) := \sum_{n\in A} \omega_n$, with $A \subset \mathbb{N}$. They called **weight-greedy bases** (ω- greedy bases) to those bases satisfying

$$\|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq C\sigma_{\omega(A_m)}^\omega(x),\ \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $C > 0$ is an absolute constant and $A_m = supp(\mathcal{G}_m(x))$. Moreover, they proved in [12] that $\mathscr{B}$ is a ω- greedy basis if and only if it is unconditional and w-democratic (where a basis is w-democratic whenever there exists $C > 0$ so that $\|1_A\| \leq C\|1_B\|$ for any pair of finite sets A and B with $w(A) \leq w(B)$). This generalization was motivated by the work of A. Cohen, R.A. DeVore and R. Hochmuth in [5] where the basis was indexed by dyadic intervals and $w_\alpha(\Lambda) = \sum_{I\in\Lambda} |I|^\alpha$. Later in 2013, similar considerations were considered by E. Hernández and D. Vera to prove some inclusions of approximation spaces (see [9]).

Let us summarize and use the following combined definition.

Definition 1.1. *Let $\mathscr{B}$ be a normalized Schauder basis in $\mathbb{X}$, $0 < t \leq 1$ and weight sequence $\omega = \{\omega_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with $\omega_n > 0$. We say that $\mathscr{B}$ is (t, ω)-**greedy** if there exists $C(t) > 0$ such that*

$$\|x - G^t(x)\| \leq C(t)\sigma^w_{m(t)}(x)\ \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall G^t \in \mathscr{G}(x, t, m) \tag{1.4}$$

where $A_m(t) = supp(G^t(x))$ and $m(t) = w(A_m(t))$.

The authors introduced (see [2]) the best m-term approximation with respect to polynomials with constant coefficients as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}^*_m(x) := \inf\{d(x, [1_{\eta A}]) : A \subset \mathbb{N}, (\eta_n) \in \{\pm 1\}, |A| = m\}.$$

Obviously, $\sigma_m(x) \leq \mathcal{D}^*_m(x)$ but, while $\sigma_m(x) \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$ it was shown that for orthonormal bases in Hilbert spaces we have $\mathcal{D}^*_m(x) \to \|x\|$ as $m \to \infty$. The following result establishes a new description of greedy bases using the best m-term approximation with respect to polynomials with constant coefficients.

Theorem 1.2. *(*[2, Theorem 3.6]*) Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a Banach space and $\mathscr{B}$ a Schauder basis of $\mathbb{X}$.*

(i) If there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq C\mathcal{D}^*_m(x),\ \forall x \in \mathbb{X},\ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.5}$$

then $\mathscr{B}$ is C-suppression unconditional and C-symmetric for largest coefficients.

(ii) If $\mathscr{B}$ is K_s-suppression unconditional and C_s-symmetric for largest coefficients then

$$\|x - \mathcal{G}_m(x)\| \leq (K_sC_s)\sigma_m(x),\ \forall x \in \mathbb{X},\ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The concepts of suppression unconditional and symmetric for largest coefficients bases can be found in [2, 3, 4, 6, 13]. We recall here that a basis is K_s-**suppression unconditional** if the projection operator is uniformly bounded, that is to say

$$\|P_A(x)\| \leq K_s\|x\|,\ \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \forall A \subset \mathbb{N}$$

and $\mathscr{B}$ is C_s-**symmetric for largest coefficients** if

$$\|x + t1_{\varepsilon A}\| \leq C_s\|x + t1_{\varepsilon' B}\|,$$

for any $|A| = |B|$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $supp(x) \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$, $(\varepsilon_j), (\varepsilon'_j) \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $t = \max\{|e^*_n(x)| : n \in supp(x)\}$.

In this note we shall give a direct proof of the equivalence between condition (1.1) and (1.5) even in the setting of (t, w)-greedy basis.

Let us now introduce our best m-term approximation with respect to polynomials with constant coefficients associated to a weight sequence and the basic property to be considered in the paper.

Definition 1.3. *Let $\mathscr{B}$ be a normalized Schauder basis in $\mathbb{X}$, $0 < t \leq 1$ and a weight sequence $\omega = \{\omega_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with $\omega_n > 0$. We denote by*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\delta}^{\omega}(x) := \inf\{d(x, [1_{\eta A}]) : A \subset \mathbb{N}, (\eta_n) \in \{\pm 1\}, \omega(A) \leq \delta\}.$$

*The basis $\mathscr{B}$ is said to (t, w)-greedy for polynomials with constant coefficients, denoted to have (t, w)-**PCCG property**, if there exists $D(t) > 0$ such that*

$$\|x - G^t(x)\| \leq D(t)\mathcal{D}_{m(t)}^{\omega}(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall G^t \in \mathscr{G}(x, t, m) \tag{1.6}$$

where $A_m(t) = supp(G^t(x))$ and $m(t) = \omega(A_m(t))$.

In the case $t = 1$ and $w(A) = |A|$ we simply call it the **PCCG property**.

Of course $\sigma_{\delta}^{\omega}(x) \leq \mathcal{D}_{\delta}^{\omega}(x)$ for all $\delta > 0$, hence if the basis is (t, ω)-greedy then (1.6) holds with the $D(t) = C(t)$. We now formulate our main result which produces a direct proof of the result in [2] and give the extension to t-greedy and weighted greedy versions.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\mathscr{B}$ be a normalized Schauder basis in $\mathbb{X}$ and let $\omega = \{\omega_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a weight sequence with $\omega_n > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The following are equivalent:*

(i) There exist $0 < s \leq 1$ such that $\mathscr{B}$ has the (s, w)-PCCG property.

(ii) $\mathscr{B}$ is (t, ω)-greedy for all $0 < t \leq 1$.

Proof. Only the implication (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) needs a proof. Let us assume that (1.6) holds for some $0 < s \leq 1$. Let $0 < t \leq 1$, $x \in \mathbb{X}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G^t \in \mathscr{G}(x, t, m)$. We write $G^t(x) = P_{A_m(t)}(x)$ with $A_m(t) \in \mathcal{G}(x, t, m)$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ we choose $z = \sum_{n \in B} e_n^*(x)e_n$ with $\omega(B) \leq \omega(A_m(t))$ and $\|x - z\| \leq \sigma_{\omega(A_m)}^{\omega}(x) + \varepsilon$.

We write

$$x - P_{A_m(t)}(x) = x - P_{A_m(t) \cup B}(x) + P_{B \setminus A_m(t)}(x).$$

Taking into account that $P_{B \setminus A_m(t)}(x) \in co(\{S1_{\eta(B \setminus A_m(t))} : |\eta_j| = 1\})$ for any $S \geq \max\limits_{j \in B \setminus A_m(t)} |e_j^*(x)|$, it suffices to show that there exists $R \geq 1$ and $C(t) > 0$ such that

$$\|x - P_{A_m(t) \cup B}(x) + R\gamma 1_{\eta(B \setminus A_m(t))}\| \leq C(t)\|x - z\| \tag{1.7}$$

for any choice of signs $(\eta_j)_{j \in B \setminus A_m(t)}$ where $\gamma = \max\limits_{j \in B \setminus A_m(t)} |e_j^*(x)|$.

Let us assume first that $t \geq s$. We shall show that

$$\|x - P_{(A_m(t) \cup B)}(x) + \frac{t}{s}\gamma 1_{\eta B \setminus A_m(t)}\| \leq D(s)\|x - P_B(x)\| \tag{1.8}$$

for any choice of signs $(\eta_j)_{j \in B \setminus A_m(t)}$.

Given $(\eta_j)_{j\in B\setminus A_m(t)}$ we consider

$$y_\eta = x - P_B(x) + \frac{t}{s}\gamma 1_{\eta(B\setminus A_m(t))} = \sum_{n\notin B} e_n^*(x)e_n + \sum_{n\in B\setminus A_m(t)} \frac{t}{s}\gamma\eta_n e_n.$$

Note that

$$\min_{n\in A_m(t)\setminus B} |e_n^*(y_\eta)| = \min_{n\in A_m(t)\setminus B} |e_n^*(x)| \geq \min_{n\in A_m(t)} |e_n^*(x)|$$

and

$$s \max_{n\in (A_m(t)\setminus B)^c} |e_n^*(y_\eta)| = \max\{s \max_{n\notin A_m(t)} |e_n^*(x)|, t\gamma\}.$$

Therefore, since $t \geq s$, we conclude that

$$\min_{n\in A_m(t)\setminus B} |e_n^*(y_\eta)| \geq s \max_{n\in (A_m(t)\setminus B)^c} |e_n^*(y_\eta)|.$$

Hence $A_m(t)\setminus B \in \mathcal{G}(y_\eta, s, N)$ with $N = |A_m(t)\setminus B|$. We write $G^s(y_\eta) = P_{A_m(t)\setminus B}(x)$ and notice that

$$y_\eta - G^s(y_\eta) = x - P_{A_m(t)\cup B}(x) + \frac{t}{s}\gamma 1_{\eta(B\setminus A_m(t))}.$$

Since $\omega(B) \leq \omega(A_m(t))$ we have also that $\omega(B\setminus A_m(t)) \leq \omega(A_m(t)\setminus B)$. Hence for $N(s) = \omega(A_m(t)\setminus B)$ we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - P_{(A_m(t)\cup B)}(x) + \frac{t}{s}\gamma 1_{\eta B\setminus A_m(t)}\| &\leq D(s)\mathcal{D}^\omega_{N(s)}(y_\eta) \\ &\leq D(s)\|y_\eta - \frac{t}{s}\gamma 1_{\eta B\setminus A_m(t)}\| \\ &= D(s)\|x - P_B(x)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $y = x - z + \mu 1_B$ for $\mu = s\max_{j\notin B}|e_j^*(x-z)| + \max_{j\in B}|e_j^*(x-z)|$.

Then

$$\min_{j\in B} |\mu + e_n^*(x-z)| \geq s \max_{j\notin B} |e_n^*(x-z)|,$$

which gives that $B \in \mathcal{G}(y, s, |B|)$ and we obtain $G^s(y) = P_B(x-z) + \mu 1_B$. Hence

$$\|x - P_B(x)\| = \|y - G^s(y)\| \leq D(s)\|y - \mu 1_B\| = D(s)\|x - z\|. \tag{1.9}$$

Therefore, by (1.8) and (1.9) we obtain

$$\|x - P_{(A_m(t)\cup B)}(x) + \frac{t}{s}\gamma 1_{\eta B\setminus A_m(t)}\| \leq D(s)^2\|x - z\|.$$

Then, for $s \leq t$ we obtain that $\mathscr{B}$ is (t, w)-greedy with constant $C(t) \leq D(s)^2$.

We now consider the case $s > t$. We use the following estimates:

$$\|x - P_{(A_m(t)\cup B)}(x) + \gamma 1_{\eta B\setminus A_m(t)}\| \leq \|x - P_B(x)\| + \|P_{A_m(t)\setminus B}(x)\| + \gamma\|1_{\eta B\setminus A_m(t)}\|.$$

Arguing as above, using now

$$\tilde{y}_\eta = P_{A_m(t)\setminus B}(x) + \frac{t}{s}\gamma 1_{\eta(B\setminus A_m(t))},$$

we conclude that $\frac{t}{s}\gamma\|1_{\eta B\setminus A_m(t)}\| \leq D(s)\|P_{A_m(t)\setminus B}(x)\|$.

The argument used to show (1.9) gives $\|z - P_C z\| \leq D(s)\|z\|$ for all $z \in \mathbb{X}$ and finite set C. Therefore

$$\|P_{A_m(t)\setminus B}(x)\| = \|P_{A_m(t)}(x - P_B x)\| \leq (1 + D(s))\|x - P_B x\|.$$

Putting all together we have

$$\|x - P_{(A_m(t)\cup B)}(x) + \gamma 1_{\eta B\setminus A_m(t)}\| \leq (2 + \frac{t+s}{t}D(s))\|x - P_B x\|,$$

and therefore $\mathscr{B}$ is (t, w)-greedy with constant $C(t) \leq (2 + \frac{t+s}{t}D(s))D(s)$. □

Corollary 1.5. *If $t = 1$ and $\omega(A) = |A|$, then $\mathscr{B}$ has the PCCG property if and only if $\mathscr{B}$ is greedy.*

Corollary 1.6. *If $\omega(A) = |A|$, then $\mathscr{B}$ has the t-PCCG property if and only if $\mathscr{B}$ is t-greedy.*

2. A remark on the Haar system

Throughout this section $|E|$ stands for the Lebesgue measure of a set in $[0, 1]$, $\mathcal{D}$ for the family of dyadic intervals in $[0, 1]$ and $card(\Lambda)$ for the number of dyadic elements in Λ. We denote by $\mathcal{H} := \{H_I\}$ the Haar basis in $[0, 1]$, that is to say

$$H_{[0,1]}(x) = 1 \text{ for } x \in [0, 1),$$

and for $I \in \mathcal{D}$ of the form $I = [(j-1)2^{-n}, j2^{-n})$, $j = 1, .., 2^n$, $n = 0, 1, ...$ we have

$$H_I(x) = \begin{cases} 2^{n/2} & \text{if } x \in [(j-1)2^{-n}, (j-\frac{1}{2})2^{-n}), \\ -2^{n/2} & \text{if } x \in [(j-\frac{1}{2})2^{-n}, j2^{-n}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We write

$$c_I(f) := \langle f, H_I\rangle = \int_0^1 f(x)H_I(x)dx \text{ and } c_I(f, p) := \|c_I(f)H_I\|_p, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

It is well known that $\mathcal{H}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2([0, 1])$ and for $1 < p < \infty$ we can use the Littlewood-Paley's Theorem which gives

$$c_p \left\| \left(\sum_I |c_I(f, p)\frac{H_I}{\|H_I\|_p}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \leq \|f\|_p \leq C_p \left\| \left(\sum_I |c_I(f, p)\frac{H_I}{\|H_I\|_p}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \quad (2.1)$$

to conclude that $(\frac{H_I}{\|H_I\|_p})_I$ is an unconditional basis in $L^p([0, 1])$. Denoting $f <<_p g$ whenever $c_I(f, p) \leq c_I(g, p)$ for all dyadic intervals I we obtain from (2.1) the existence of a constant K_p such that

$$\|f\|_p \leq K_p\|g\|_p \quad \forall f, g \in L^p([0, 1]) \text{ with } f <<_p g, \quad (2.2)$$

and also

$$\|P_\Lambda g\| \leq K_p \|g\|_p \quad \forall g \in L^p \quad \forall \Lambda \subset \mathcal{D}. \tag{2.3}$$

Regarding the greedyness of the Haar basis it was V. N. Temlyakov the first one who proved (see [15]) that the every wavelet basis L_p-equivalent to the Haar basis is t-greedy in $L_p([0,1])$ with $1 < p < \infty$ for any $0 < t \leq 1$.

Let $\omega : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a measurable weight and, as usual, we denote $\omega(I) = \int_I \omega(x)dx$ and $m_I(\omega) = \frac{\omega(I)}{|I|}$ for any $I \in \mathcal{D}$. In the space $L^p(\omega) = L^p([0,1],\omega)$ we denote $\|f\|_{p,\omega} = (\int_0^1 |f(x)|^p \omega(x)dx)^{1/p}$ and

$$c_I(f,p,\omega) := \|c_I(f)H_I\|_{p,\omega} = |c_I(f)| \frac{\omega(I)^{1/p}}{|I|^{1/2}}.$$

Recall that ω is said to be a dyadic A_p-weight (denoted $\omega \in A_p^d$) if

$$A_p^d(\omega) = \sup_{I \in \mathcal{D}} m_I(\omega) \Big(m_I(\omega^{-1/(p-1)}) \Big)^{p-1} < \infty. \tag{2.4}$$

As one may expect, Littlewood-Paley theory holds for weights in the dyadic A_p-class.

Theorem 2.1. *(see* [1, 10] *for the multidimensional case) If* $\omega \in A_p^d$ *then*

$$\|f\|_{p,\omega} \approx \left\| \left(\sum_I |c_I(f,p,\omega) \frac{H_I}{\|H_I\|_{p,\omega}}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{p,\omega}. \tag{2.5}$$

In particular $(\frac{H_I}{\|H_I\|_{p,\omega}})_I$ *is an unconditional basis in* $L^p(\omega)$ *for* $1 < p < \infty$.

The greedyness of the Haar basis in $L^p(\omega)$ goes back to M. Izuki (see [10, 11]) who showed that this holds for weights in the class A_p^d. We shall use the ideas in these papers to show that the Haar basis satisfies the PCCG property for certain spaces defined using the Littlewood-Paley theory.

Definition 2.2. *Let* $\omega : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^+$ *be a measurable weight and* $1 \leq p < \infty$. *For each finite set of dyadic intervals* Λ *we define* $f_\Lambda = \sum_{I \in \Lambda} c_I(f) H_I = \sum_{I \in \Lambda} c_I(f,p,\omega) \frac{H_I}{\|H_I\|_{p,\omega}}$ *and write*

$$\|f\|_{X^p(\omega)} = \left\| \left(\sum_{I \in \Lambda} |c_I(f,p,\omega) \frac{H_I}{\|H_I\|_{p,\omega}}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{p,\omega}.$$

The closure of $span(f_\Lambda : card(\Lambda) < \infty)$ *under this norm will be denoted* $X^p(\omega)$.

From the definition $(\frac{H_I}{\|H_I\|_{p,\omega}})_I$ is an unconditional basis with constant 1 in $X^p(\omega)$ and due to (2.5) $X^p(\omega) = L^p(\omega)$ whenever $\omega \in A_p^d$. Our aim is to analyze conditions on the weight ω for the basis to be greedy. For such a purpose we do not need the weight to belong to A_p^d. In fact analyzing the proof in [10, 11] one notices that only the dyadic reverse doubling condition (see [8, p. 141]) was used. Recall that a weight

ω is said to satisfies **the dyadic reverse doubling condition** if there exists $\delta < 1$ such that

$$\omega(I') \leq \delta\omega(I), \forall I, I' \in \mathcal{D} \text{ with } I' \subsetneq I. \tag{2.6}$$

Let us introduce certain weaker conditions.

Definition 2.3. *Let $\alpha > 0$ and ω be a measurable weight. We shall say that ω satisfies* **the dyadic reverse Carleson condition** *of order α with constant $C > 0$ whenever*

$$\sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}, J\subseteq I} \omega(I)^{-\alpha} \leq C\omega(J)^{-\alpha}, \forall J \in \mathcal{D}. \tag{2.7}$$

Definition 2.4. *Let $\alpha > 0$ and two sequences $(w_I)_{I\in\mathcal{D}}$ and $(v_I)_{I\in\mathcal{D}}$ of positive real numbers. We say that the pair $\Big((w_I)_{I\in\mathcal{D}}, (v_I)_{I\in\mathcal{D}}\Big)$ satisfies $\alpha -$ **DRCC** with constant $C > 0$ whenever*

$$\sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}, J\subseteq I} w_I^{-\alpha} \leq Cv_J^{-\alpha}, \forall J \in \mathcal{D}. \tag{2.8}$$

Remark 2.5. *(i) If $\omega \in \cup_{p>1} A_p^w$ then ω satisfies the dyadic reverse doubling condition (see* [8, p 141]*).*

(ii) If ω satisfies the dyadic reverse doubling condition then ω satisfies the dyadic reverse Carleson condition of order α with constant $\frac{1}{1-\delta^\alpha}$ for any $\alpha > 0$.

Indeed,

$$\sum_{J\subseteq I} \omega(I)^{-\alpha} \leq \omega(J)^{-\alpha} + \omega(J)^{-\alpha} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \delta^{m\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{1-\delta^\alpha}\omega(J)^{-\alpha}.$$

*(iii) If ω satisfies the dyadic reverse Carleson condition of order α and $w_I = \omega(I)$ for each $I \in \mathcal{D}$ then $\Big((w_I)_{I\in\mathcal{D}}, (w_I)_{I\in\mathcal{D}}\Big)$ satisfies α-**DRCC** .*

We need the following lemmas, whose proofs are essentially included in [5, 10, 11].

Lemma 2.6. *Let ω be a weight and $(v_I)_{I\in\mathcal{D}}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\Big((v_I)_{I\in\mathcal{D}}, (\omega(I))_{I\in\mathcal{D}}\Big)$ satisfies* 1-**DRCC** *with constant C. Then*

$$\left(\sum_{I\in\Lambda} \frac{\omega(I)}{v_I}\right)^{1/p} \leq C \left\| \sum_{I\in\Lambda} \frac{H_I}{\|H_I\|_{p,\omega}} \right\|_{X^p(\omega)}, \forall 1 \leq p < \infty. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. We first write

$$\left\| \sum_{I\in\Lambda} \frac{H_I}{\|H_I\|_{p,\omega}} \right\|_{X^p(\omega)} = \left(\int_0^1 (\sum_{I\in\Lambda} \omega(I)^{-2/p} \chi_I)^{p/2} \omega(x)dx \right)^{1/p}. \tag{2.10}$$

Let $I(x)$ denote the minimal dyadic interval in Λ with regard to the inclusion relation that contains x. Now we use that

$$\sum_{I\in\mathcal{D}, I(x)\subseteq I} v_I^{-1} \leq C\omega(I(x))^{-1}$$

to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}(\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\frac{\omega(I)}{v_I})^{1/p} &= \Big(\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\int_I v_I^{-1}\omega(x)dx\Big)^{1/p} = \Big(\int_0^1(\sum_{I\in\Lambda}v_I^{-1}\chi_I(x))\omega(x)dx\Big)^{1/p}\\ &\le C\Big(\int_0^1\omega(I(x))^{-1}\omega(x)dx\Big)^{1/p} \le C\Big(\int_0^1(\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\omega(I)^{-2/p}\chi_I(x))^{p/2}\omega(x)dx\Big)^{1/p}\\ &= C\|\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\frac{H_I}{\|H_I\|_p}\|_{X^p(\omega)}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 2.7. *Let $1<p<\infty$, ω be a weight and $(v_I)_{I\in\mathcal{D}}$ of positive real numbers. If $\Big((\omega(I))_{I\in\mathcal{D}},(v_I)_{I\in\mathcal{D}}\Big)$ satisfies $2/p$-***DRCC** *with constant $C>0$ then*

$$\Big\|\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\frac{H_I}{\|H_I\|_{p,\omega}}\Big\|_{X^p(\omega)} \le C\left(\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\frac{\omega(I)}{v_I}\right)^{1/p} \tag{2.11}$$

for all finite family Λ of dyadic intervals.

Proof. Let $E=\cup_{I\in\Lambda}I$. As above $I(x)$ stands for the minimal dyadic interval in Λ with regard to the inclusion relation that contains x. From (2.8) we have that

$$\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\omega(I)^{-2/p}\chi_I(x) \le Cv_{I(x)}^{-2/p}, \quad x\in E. \tag{2.12}$$

Now denote for each $I\in\Lambda$, $\tilde{I}=\{x\in E: I(x)=I\}$. Clearly $\tilde{I}\subseteq I$ and $E=\cup_{I\in\Lambda}\tilde{I}$. Hence applying (2.10) and (2.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\frac{H_I}{\|H_I\|_p}\Big\|_{X^p(\omega)} &\le C\left(\int_E v_{I(x)}^{-1}\omega(x)dx\right)^{1/p} = C\left(\int_{\cup_{I\in\Lambda}\tilde{I}} v_{I(x)}^{-1}\omega(x)dx\right)^{1/p}\\ &\le C\Big(\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\int_{\tilde{I}}v_I^{-1}\omega(x)dx\Big)^{1/p} \le C\Big(\sum_{I\in\Lambda}v_I^{-1}\int_I\omega(x)dx\Big)^{1/p}\\ &= C(\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\frac{\omega(I)}{v_I})^{1/p}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is now complete. □

Combining Remark 2.5 and Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7 we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $1<p<\infty$, ω be a weight satisfying the dyadic reverse doubling condition then*

$$\Big\|\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\frac{H_I}{\|H_I\|_{p,\omega}}\Big\|_{X^p(\omega)} \approx card(\Lambda)^{1/p} \tag{2.13}$$

for all finite family Λ of dyadic intervals.

Corollary 2.9. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, ω be a weight and $(v_I)_{I\in\mathcal{D}}$ of positive real numbers. If $\Big((\omega(I))_{I\in\mathcal{D}}, (v_I)_{I\in\mathcal{D}}\Big)$ satisfies $2/p'$-**DRCC** with constant $C > 0$ then*

$$\left(\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\frac{\omega(I)}{v_I}\right)^{1/p} \leq C\Big(\max_{I\in\Lambda}\frac{\omega(I)}{v_I}\Big)\left\|\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\frac{H_I}{\|H_I\|_{p,\omega}}\right\|_{X^p(\omega)} \tag{2.14}$$

for all finite family Λ of dyadic intervals.

Proof. Note that, using Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\frac{\omega(I)}{v_I} &= \int_0^1 (\sum_{I\in\Lambda} v_I^{-1}\chi_I(x))\omega(x)dx\\
&\leq \int_0^1 (\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\omega(I)^{-2/p}\chi_I)^{1/2}(\sum_{I\in\Lambda} v_I^{-2}\omega(I)^{2/p}\chi_I(x))^{1/2}\omega(x)dx\\
&\leq \Big(\int_0^1 (\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\omega(I)^{-2/p}\chi_I)^{p/2}\omega(x)dx\Big)^{1/p}\Big(\int_0^1 (\sum_{I\in\Lambda} v_I^{-2}\omega(I)^{2/p}\chi_I(x))^{p'/2}\omega(x)dx\Big)^{1/p'}\\
&\leq \left\|\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\frac{H_I}{\|H_I\|_{p,\omega}}\right\|_{X^p(\omega)}\left\|\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\frac{\omega(I)}{v_I}\frac{H_I}{\|H_I\|_{p',\omega}}\right\|_{X^{p'}(\omega)}\\
&\leq \left(\max_{I\in\Lambda}\frac{\omega(I)}{v_I}\right)\left\|\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\frac{H_I}{\|H_I\|_{p,\omega}}\right\|_{X^p(\omega)}\left\|\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\frac{H_I}{\|H_I\|_{p',\omega}}\right\|_{X^{p'}(\omega)}\\
&\leq C\left(\max_{I\in\Lambda}\frac{\omega(I)}{v_I}\right)\left\|\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\frac{H_I}{\|H_I\|_{p,\omega}}\right\|_{X^p(\omega)}\left(\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\frac{\omega(I)}{v_I}\right)^{1/p'}.
\end{aligned}$$

The result now follows. □

Taking into account that dyadic reverse Carleson condition of order α implies dyadic reverse Carleson condition of order β for $\beta > \alpha$ we obtain the following fact.

Corollary 2.10. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, ω be a weight satisfying the dyadic reverse Carleson condition of order $\min\{2/p', 2/p\}$ then*

$$\|\sum_{I\in\Lambda}\frac{H_I}{\|H_I\|_{p,\omega}}\|_{X^p(\omega)} \approx card(\Lambda)^{1/p} \tag{2.15}$$

for all finite family Λ of dyadic intervals.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < t \leq 1$, $(w_I)_{I\in\mathcal{D}}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that*

$$0 < m_0 = \inf_{I\in\mathcal{D}} w_I \leq \sup_{I\in\mathcal{D}} w_I = M_0 < \infty$$

and let ω be a weight satisfying the dyadic reverse Carleson condition of order $\min\{1, 2/p\}$ with constant $C > 0$. Then the Haar basis has the (t, w_I)-PCCG property in $X^p(\omega)$.

Proof. Let $f \in X^p(\omega)$ and let Λ_m^t be a set of m dyadic intervals where

$$\min_{I\in\Lambda_m^t} c_I(f,p,\omega) \geq t \max_{I'\notin\Lambda_m^t} c_{I'}(f,p,\omega).$$

For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $(\varepsilon_n) \in \{\pm 1\}$ and Λ' with $\sum_{J\in\Lambda'} w_J \leq \sum_{I\in\Lambda_m^t} w_I$ we need to show that $\|f - P_{\Lambda_m^t}(f)\|_{X^p(\omega)} \leq C(t)\|f - \alpha 1_{\varepsilon\Lambda'}\|_{X^p(w)}$ for some constant $C(t) > 0$. From triangular inequality

$$\|f - P_{\Lambda_m^t}(f)\|_{X^p(\omega)} \leq \|P_{(\Lambda_m\cup\Lambda')^c}(f - \alpha 1_{\varepsilon\Lambda'})\|_{X^p(\omega)} + \|P_{\Lambda'\setminus\Lambda_m^t}(f)\|_{X^p(\omega)}$$

and the fact $\|P_\Lambda(f - \alpha 1_{\varepsilon B})\|_{X^p(\omega)} \leq \|f - \alpha 1_{\varepsilon B}\|_{X^p(\omega)}$ for any Λ we only need to show that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|P_{\Lambda'\setminus\Lambda_m^t}(f)\|_{X^p(\omega)} \leq C\|f - \alpha 1_{\varepsilon\Lambda'}\|_{X^p(\omega)}.$$

Set $v_I = \frac{\omega(I)}{w_I}$ and observe that $\Big((\omega(I))_{I\in\mathcal{D}}, (v_I)_{I\in\mathcal{D}}\Big)$ satisfies $2/p$-DRCC with constant M_0C and $\Big((v_I)_{I\in\mathcal{D}}, \omega(I)_{I\in\mathcal{D}}\Big)$ satisfies 1-DRCC with constant C/m_0. Note that $\sum_{J\in\Lambda'} w_J \leq \sum_{I\in\Lambda_m^t} w_I$ implies that

$$\sum_{J\in\Lambda'\setminus\Lambda_m^t} \frac{\omega(J)}{v_J} \leq \sum_{I\in\Lambda_m^t\setminus\Lambda'} \frac{\omega(I)}{v_I}$$

and then, invoking Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.6, we get the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_{\Lambda'\setminus\Lambda_m^t}(f)\|_{X^p(\omega)} &\leq \|\max_{I\in\Lambda'\setminus A_m} c_I(f,p,\omega)1_{\Lambda'\setminus A_m}\|_{X^p(\omega)} \\
&\leq CM_0 \max_{I\in\Lambda'\setminus\Lambda_m^t} c_I(f,p,\omega)\Big(\sum_{J\in\Lambda'\setminus\Lambda_m^t} \frac{\omega(J)}{v_J}\Big)^{1/p} \\
&\leq t^{-1}CM_0 \min_{I\in\Lambda_m^t\setminus\Lambda'} c_I(f,p,\omega)\Big(\sum_{I\in\Lambda_m^t\setminus\Lambda'} \frac{\omega(I)}{v_I}\Big)^{1/p} \\
&\leq \frac{C^2M_0}{tm_0}\|\min_{I\in\Lambda_m^t\setminus\Lambda'} c_I(f,p,\omega)1_{\Lambda_m^t\setminus\Lambda'}\|_{X^p(\omega)} \\
&\leq \frac{C^2M_0}{tm_0}\|P_{\Lambda_m^t\setminus\Lambda'}(f)\|_{X^p(\omega)} \\
&= \frac{C^2M_0}{tm_0}\|P_{\Lambda_m^t\setminus\Lambda'}(f - \alpha 1_{\varepsilon B})\|_{X^p(\omega)} \\
&\leq \frac{C^2M_0}{tm_0}\|f - \alpha 1_{\varepsilon\Lambda'}\|_{X^p(\omega)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof with $C(t) = 1 + \frac{C^2M_0}{tm_0}$. □

Corollary 2.12. *(i) If $\omega \in A_p^d$ then the Haar basis has the t-PCCG property (and hence is t-greedy) in $L^p(\omega)$ with $1 < p < \infty$.*

(ii) The Haar basis has the (t, w_I)-PCCG property (and hence is (t, w_I)-greedy) in $L^p([0,1])$ for any sequence $(w_I)_{I\in\mathcal{D}}$ with $0 < \inf w_I \leq \sup w_I < \infty$.

Acknowledgment: The authors would like to thank to G. Garrigós and E. Hernández for useful conversations during the elaboration of this paper.

References

[1] H. A. Aimar, A. I. Bernardis, F.J. Martin-Reyes,*Multiresolution approximation and wavelet bases of weighted L^p spaces*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. , **9** (2003), 497-510.

[2] P. M. Berná, O. Blasco,*Characterization of greedy bases in Banach spaces*, arXiv: 1604.07260v1 [math.FA] 25 Apr 2016.

[3] F.Albiac, J.L. Ansorena,*Characterization of 1-almost greedy bases*, arXiv: 1506.03397v2 [math.FA] 17 Aug 2015.

[4] F.Albiac, P.Wojtaszczyk,*Characterization of 1-greedy bases*, J. Approx. Theory,**138** (2006), no.1, 65-86.

[5] A. Cohen, R.A. Devore, R. Hochmuth,*Restricted nonlinear approximation*, Constr. Approx.,**16** (2000), no.1, 85-113.

[6] S.J. Dilworth, D. Kutzarova, E. Odell, T. Schlumprecht, A. Zsak,*Renorming spaces with greedy bases*, J. Approx. Theory **188** (2014), 39-56.

[7] S.J. Dilworth, N.J. Kalton, D. Kutzarova, V.N. Temlyakov,*The thresholding greedy algorithm, greedy bases, and duality*, Constr.Approx.**19** (2003), no.4, 575-597.

[8] J. García-Cuerva, J.L. Rubio de Francia,*Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.

[9] E. Hernández, D. Vera,*Restricted non-linear approximation in sequence spaces and applications to wavelet bases and interpolation*, Monatsh. Math.,**169** (2013), no.2, 187-217.

[10] M. Izuki,*The Haar wavelets and the Haar scaling function in weighted L^p spaces with $A_p^{dy,m}$ weights*, Hokkaido Math. J.,**36** (2007), 417-444.

[11] M. Izuki, Y. Sawano,*The Haar wavelet characterization of weighted Herz spaces and greediness of the Haar wavelet basis*, J. Math. Anal. Appl.,**362** (2010), 140-155.

[12] G. Kerkyacharian, D. Picard, V.N. Temlyakov,*Some inequalities for the tensor product of greedy bases and weight-greedy bases*, East J. Approx.**12** (2006), 103-118.

[13] S.V.Konyagin, V.N.Temlyakov,*A remark on greedy approximation in Banach spaces*, East J. Approx. **5** (1999), 365-379.

[14] V.N.Temlyakov,*Greedy approximation*, Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics, vol.20, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011.

[15] V.N.Temlyakov,*The best m-term approximation and greedy algorithms*, Adv. Comp., **8** (1998), 249-265.

[16] P.Wojtaszczyk,*Greedy algorithm for general biorthogonal systems*, J.Approx.Theory **107** (2000), no.2, 293-314.

[17] P.Wojtaszczyk,*Greedy type bases in Banach spaces*, Constructive theory of functions, 136-155, DARBA, Sofia, 2003.

Pablo M. Berná, Instituto Universitario de Matemática Pura y Aplicada, Universitat Politècnica de València, 46022 Valencia, Spain

E-mail address: pmbl1991@gmail.com

Óscar Blasco, Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de Valencia, Campus de Burjassot, 46100 Valencia, Spain

E-mail address: oscar.blasco@uv.es